

## **RELEVANT INFORMATION FOR THE CENTRAL SYDNEY PLANNING COMMITTEE**

---

**FILE:** D/2013/1851 **DATE:** 29 October 2014  
**TO:** Central Sydney Planning Committee Members  
**FROM:** Graham Jahn, Director City Planning, Development and Transport  
**SUBJECT:** Information Relevant To Item 7 – 331-337 Kent Street Sydney - At CSPC - 30 October 2014

---

### **Alternative Recommendation**

That consent be granted to Development Application No. D/2013/1851, subject to the conditions as detailed in the subject report to the Central Sydney Planning Committee on 30 October 2014, amended as follows (with additions shown in ***bold italics*** and deletions shown in ~~strikethrough~~):

#### **(1) APPROVED DEVELOPMENT**

- (a) Development must be in accordance with Development Application No. D/2013/1851 dated 29 November 2013 and the following drawings:

<b>Drawing Number</b>	<b>Architect</b>	<b>Date</b>
DA-FP-01 Rev 01	Cox Richardson	8 September 2014
DA-FP-02 Rev 01	Cox Richardson	8 September 2014
DA-FP-03 Rev 01	Cox Richardson	8 September 2014
DA-FP-04 Rev 01	Cox Richardson	8 September 2014
DA-FP-05 Rev 01	Cox Richardson	8 September 2014
DA-FP-06 Rev 01	Cox Richardson	8 September 2014
DA-FP-07 Rev 01	Cox Richardson	8 September 2014
DA-EL-01 Rev 01	Cox Richardson	8 September 2014
DA-EL-02 Rev 01	Cox Richardson	8 September 2014
DA-SEC-01 Rev 01	Cox Richardson	8 September 2014
DA-SEC-02 Rev 01	Cox Richardson	8 September 2014

Drawing Number	Architect	Date
DA-SEC-03 Rev 01	Cox Richardson	8 September 2014
<b>DA-FP-08 Rev 01</b>	<b>Cox Richardson</b>	<b>8 September 2014</b>

and as amended by the conditions of this consent.

- (b) In the event of any inconsistency between the approved plans and supplementary documentation, the plans will prevail.

## (25) PRINCIPAL WINDOWS

The Stage 2 application must not include principle windows for either residential apartments or tourist and visitor accommodation within 6m of either the ~~eastern~~, northern or southern boundary.

### Background

#### Approved Development

It is recommended that Condition 1 be amended to include the additional drawing identified above. The drawing, which illustrates the high rise envelope plan for levels 17 to 24, was included in Attachment A in the report to committee but was not included in the recommended condition as a result of a drafting error.

#### Principle Windows

It is recommended that the reference to the eastern elevation be removed from the condition as the condition was intended to only refer to the side (northern and southern) boundaries. The proposed side setbacks are discussed in detail in paragraphs 31 to 36 of the report to committee.

Prepared by: Patrick Quinn, Specialist Planner

TRIM Document Number: 2014/487501

### Attachments

Approved



**Graham Jahn, Director City Planning,  
Development and Transport**